

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/910,479	ROSE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Chun Cao	2115	

**All Participants:**

(1) Chun Cao.

(2) Rex I. Huang (Reg. No. 57,661).

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 December 2005

**Time:** 9:30 am

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejection

Claims discussed:  
 2, 4, 6, 7, 15, 21, 22 and 28

Prior art documents discussed:  
 None

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
 See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____ (Examiner/SPE Signature)	_____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)
-----------------------------------	--

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: 35 USC 112 2nd problem of claims 2, 4, 6, 7, 15, 21, 22 and 28 were discussed. Applicant agreed to amend the claim to overcome the discussed 112 2nd problem as set forth in examiner amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance..